

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF CHICAGO,	Plaintiff(s)
	VS.	

BANC OF AMERICA FUNDING CORPORATION, ET AL.,	Defendant(s)
--	--------------

Case Number: 10-cv-7560	Judge: Milton I. Shadur
-------------------------	-------------------------

I, Michael J. Mongan hereby apply to the Court

under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of Defendants Wells Fargo Asset Securities Corporation; Wells Fargo Bank, National Association; and Wells Fargo & Company by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted
Supreme Court of California	7/16/2007
United States District Court for the Northern District of California	11/30/2010

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:

Case Number	Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*

*If denied, please explain:
(Attach additional form if
necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon whom service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes No

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been:

censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplined by any court?

Yes

No

or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?

Yes

No

transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court?

Yes

No

denied admission to the bar of any court?

Yes

No

held in contempt of court?

Yes

No

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois, effective November 12, 1991 (Local Rules 83.50 through 83.58), and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, effective December 15, 1992, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

December 3, 2010

S/ Michael J. Mongan

Date

Electronic Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name Mongan	First Name Michael	Middle Name/Initial J.
Applicant's Law Firm	Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP		
Applicant's Address	Street Address 560 Mission Street		Room/Suite Number 27th Floor
	City San Francisco	State CA	ZIP Code 94105
	Work Phone Number 415-512-4000		

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of the Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$150.00. The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

Attachment to Motion for Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice

This is in response to my answer of “yes” to the question: “Has the applicant ever been: . . . transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court?”

On January 3, 2008, at my request, my State Bar of California status was transferred to inactive because I was clerking for Justice Souter on the United States Supreme Court, a position for which I was not required to be an active member of any bar. On January 26, 2009, at my request, my status was transferred back to active and has remained active to the present date.